

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/389,201	09/02/1999	JAMES JOSEPH BABKA	AT9-99-357	5061
7	590 08/12/2005		EXAM	INER
JAMES J MURPHY 5400 RENAISSANCE TOWER			TANG, KENNETH	
1201 ELM STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 752702199			2195	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/389,201	BABKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth Tang	2195			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	lay 2005.				
· _ ·	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	*			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati nty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

MC

Art Unit: 2195

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 5/23/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Claims 1-3 and 9-21 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-3 and 21 are directed to method steps which can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper, therefore they are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, as claimed, it is uncertain what performs each of the claimed method steps because it is not tangible. The examiner suggests applicant to change "method" to "computer implemented methods" in the preamble to overcome the outstanding 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection.

Art Unit: 2195

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. The "activity to be removed is currently displayed" (line 10) is indefinite because

it is unclear in the claim language whether this displays the activity or if this is "displaying an

identity" (from line 4). In addition, there is no connection made between the "identity" (line 4)

to anything else in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-2, 9-10, 12-14, 16, and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Singh (US 6,389,447 B1) in view of Cornaby (US 5,355,486).

6. As to claims 1, 9, 13, and 20, Singh teaches a method, system and program for tracking

activities in a data processing system, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2195

maintaining an ordered list of activities running in the system (col. 1, lines 54 through col. 2, lines 1-22);

whenever a new activity begins, inserting the new activity at a top of the list (Figs. 7-10, col. 1, lines 62-63);

displaying the activity that is at the top of the list (col. 2, lines 13-17).

Singh teaches removing an activity from an ordered list after a predetermined time (col. 6, lines 7-11, 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8). However, Singh does not teach whenever an activity in the ordered list completes, removing the completed activity from the ordered list. Cornaby teaches an inserting a new task in a queue (col. 5, lines 28-31) and removing a completed task from the queue (col. 7, lines 5-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature of removing the completed activity from the ordered list to the existing ordered list of Singh because it would improve the overall efficiency (col. 2, lines 23-33).

- 7. As to claims 2, 10, and 14, Singh teaches wherein the displaying step displays a code pertaining to the latest-started activity that has not completed (col. 2, lines 16-17).
- 8. As to claims 12 and 16, Singh teaches wherein the displaying step further comprises the steps of and system circuitry for:
  - determining if an activity that has completed is currently being displayed (col. 6, lines 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8);

Art Unit: 2195

- if the activity that has completed is currently being displayed, displaying an activity that had previously been displayed (col. 1, lines 61-65, col. 2, lines 16-17, col. 6, lines 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8).

- 9. As to claims 17-19, Singh teaches wherein only the activity at the top of the list is displayed (col. 2, lines 11-17).
- 10. As to claim 21, Singh teaches a method for tracking activities running in parallel in a data processing system, comprising the steps of:

determining if a new activity has started in the system (predetermined time has started) (col. 2, lines 3-22, col. 6, lines 62-64);

if a new activity has started in the system, displaying an identity of the new activity (Fig. 7-10, col. 1, lines 62-63, col. 2, lines 13-17);

determining if any activity running in the system has completed (completed after its predetermined time has expired) (col. 2, lines 3-5, col. 6, lines 62-64);

if an activity has completed, removing that activity from a list of activities to be displayed (col. 2, lines 3-22);

determining if the activity removed from the list is currently displayed (col. 6, lines 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8); and if the activity to be removed is currently displayed, displaying an activity not completed that has previously been displayed, wherein only one activity is displayed at a time (col. 1, lines 61-65, col. 2, lines 16-17, col. 6, lines 62-64 and col. 8, lines 6-8).

Application/Control Number: 09/389,201

Art Unit: 2195

11. Claims 3, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by

Singh (US 6,389,447 B1) in view of Cornaby (US 5,355,486), and further in view of Hughes

Page 6

et al. (hereinafter Hughes) (US 5,109,484).

12. As to claims 3, 11, and 15, Singh fails to explicitly teach the method as recited in claims

1, 9, and 13, respectfully, wherein the activities are configurations of devices attached to the data

processing system. However, Hughes teaches activities for configuring devices attached to a

data processing system with the use of a configuration list (see Abstract). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the

configuring of devices in a list of Singh to the existing management of multi-application devices

in a stack (type of list) because configuring devices is a necessary procedure before devices are

to be used (col. 1, lines 20-21).

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are now moot in view of the new

grounds of rejections.

Application/Control Number: 09/389,201

Art Unit: 2195

## Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- a. US 5,991,845 (Bohannon et al.) discloses the feature of removing terminated processes from a queue structure (see Abstract).
- b. JP 11175358 A (Touken) discloses a job completion notifying unit that deletes a job from a table when completed (see Abstract). A table is composed of an array, and therefore, is an ordered list.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Tang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3772. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM 6:00PM, Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kt 8/4/05

MENG-ALAY AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7